



PEDRO E. SEGARRA
Mayor

CITY OF HARTFORD

PROCUREMENT SERVICES UNIT
550 Main Street, Room 100
Hartford, Connecticut 06103
Telephone: (860) 757-9785
Fax: (860) 722-6607
www.hartford.gov

DARRELL V. HILL
Chief Operating Officer

July 8, 2014

Addenda Number	#1
----------------	----

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR : Advanced Traffic Management System #5551		
DUE DATE:	2:00 PM	July 10, 2014
Revised Due Date:	2:00 PM	July 22, 2014

This purpose of this addendum is to answer questions submitted by potential respondents and to extend the response date to Tuesday, July 22, 2014.

1. The NTCIP Signal Control Priority (SCP) Standard referenced in Section 2.17 of the RFP has yet to be implemented in the industry. Will the City waive this requirement?
Response: City will waive this requirement.
2. Logic processing internal to the controller is not supported by NTCIP standards. As the City wants to use NTCIP standards per Section 5.0 of the RFP, will Section 2.22 be waived?
Response: City will waive this requirement.
3. The 5th paragraph in Section 4.0 ATMS Tolls state the ATMS shall display and report cabinet monitor unit / MMU data. No industry standard currently exists for this work. Will the City waive the requirement? How can work be costed without an industry standard in place to govern and guide the work?
Response: The intent is for the central system to be able to receive a report when the conflict monitor/MMU had placed the intersections on flash. All of the data resident in the conflict monitor/MMU relative to such an event would not need to be accessible from the ATMS system.
4. How does the City want vendors to include PIC conformance statements per Section 5.2 of the RFP?
Response: City will waive this requirement.
5. What is the Period-of-performance for the service and maintenance? From the Scope of Services Section: 2.23, the RFP says "The vendor shall provide a comprehensive terms and conditions for the maintenance support and warranty for 5 years for the proposed ATMS. Warranty shall be for 5 years for any updates, and debugging the system software. From: General Information for Preparation and Delivery of a Response: 3.14 CONTRACTING: the RFP says "Unless otherwise indicated the duration of the Contract will be one (1) year.

Further, Contract terms may be negotiated on award anniversaries. City Ordinance Sec 2-588 (C) allows for a maximum of three Contract extensions provided that the funds are available, approved by the City for this purpose and that the Provider has established a satisfactory performance record. Notwithstanding the failure of City to exercise any option to renew this contract for an additional year, the Managing Authority reserves the right to unilaterally extend this contract on a month to month basis for a period not to exceed three (3) months under the same terms and conditions applicable to the preceding contract period.

Response: It is based on annual cost.

6. Will the City provide written responses to questions prior to 24-hours before the response submittal deadline if the questions are submitted before 72-hours in advance of the response deadline?

Response: Yes, in writing. Response time may vary depending on the complexity of the question.

7. Page 2 of the RFP (Standard Instructions) says a Bid Bond / Performance & Payment Bonds (required if checked on the invitation to bid). In the Invitation to Respond section of the RFP, the Bid Bond box is not checked. Is a Bid Bond required for this project?

No bonds will be required

8. Will the City provide listing and overview of all traffic signal control system databases, including their format and what software system / package that houses them?

Response: The qualified/successful vendor shall extract data from the existing ATMS database.

9. The RFP description at the end of page 10 and top of page 11 says the existing system should remain in place and be communicating with the existing controllers over the existing network, and the new ATMS will communicate with an NTCIP controller on a bench. Section 1.1 of the RFP states that the new ATMS should communicate with the existing controllers. Can the City clarify these competing requirements?

Response: The qualified/successful vendor's ATMS shall be communicating with all the existing controllers in the field through the existing communication infrastructure to meet the requirements of this RFP under this section. Additionally, the qualified/successful vendor's ATMS shall be communicating with the Test Controller to demonstrate that the proposed ATMS meets the functional requirements set by the RFQ. It is the intent to support existing field controllers utilizing existing system communications protocol so that the existing system will continue to operate in the short term. The requirement for an NTCIP based protocol communicating to a test cabinet will form the base for future system communications. Future system field controllers will be required to use NTCIP based communications. In this way, the existing manufacturer specific protocol will be replaced with an industry standard protocol able to be configured for multiple vendors.

10. In the Purpose Section, the 3rd paragraph states "The proposed ATMS system shall serve to support the existing City Traffic Management system as well as the new ATMS software to be installed as part of this project. The proposed ATMS architecture will maintain the existing central software communicating over existing field controller firmware." As the Econolite Pyramid system uses its own proprietary protocol:

- a. How are other proposers to meet this requirement of the City's RFP?

Response: The City has not received any response to the RFQ/RFP. The vendor/proposer may assume running the existing system parallel with the proposed ATMS.

b. Can value-added alternatives proposed?

Response: Yes.

11. What local firmware (and version number) is operating on the Type 170 and NAZTEC 2070L controllers?

Response: WAPITI W4 and WAPITI W9

12. What version of WAPITI W4 and WAPITI W9 local controller firmware is being used by the City?

Response: WAPITI W4 version is 55A. WAPITI W9 version is FT-ACT.

13. What communication protocol (e.g., JHK, Computran, TrafficView, etc.) are the controllers using?

Response: Protocol is ACT. Written by AECOM, which is now Econolite.

14. 1200 Baud will not support Transit Signal Priority (TSP). Will the City waive or revise the requirement(s) for TSP?

Response: The City will revise to Baud Rate higher than 1200.

15. Per Section 8 of the RFP, will the City provide a list of available servers and details (including make, mode and age) about them?

Response: Yes.

16. There is a fair amount of legacy video devices the City desires to be integrated into the new ATMS, of which some of the equipment is out of production. Will the City provided guidance on how to handle in our proposal?

Response: The vendor is to propose for the devices which are not out of production.

17. A 1-year warranty is typical in the industry for a traffic signal system. Will the City reduce the 5-year warranty requirement? If so, to how many years?

Response: City will not reduce the 5-year warranty period.

18. Can the City provide copy of Document #1009, Professional Services Insurance Requirements as stated in Section 4.7of the RFP?

www.hartford.gov/procurement/purchasing click on forms

19. If we are the successful bidder will we be allowed to modify or take exceptions to the City's contract? Per General Information for Preparation and Delivery of a Response: 3.14 CONTRACTING: the RFP states the City reserves the right to require the successful Candidate to execute a contract in a format supplied by the City. The terms and conditions of the contract to be signed upon the award of the RFR will supersede any inconsistent provision of the RFR documents.

This will be discussed during the award process

20. In Section 4 Terms and Conditions for Professional and Consulting Services, many of the items show "Reserve" instead of actual terms. When will we be provided with the terms and conditions for review?

This will be discussed during the award process

21. Is a software license agreement required? If so, will we be allowed to present/use our standard agreement?

This will be discussed during the award process

22. Will there be the need for an escrow agreement? If so, will we be allowed to choose the agreement/company to be used?

No

23. Would you describe your current GIS capability in regards to transportation and emergency services (i.e., What do you currently use and how do you use it)?

Response: City GIS Dept. to respond.

24. Would you please describe your current GIS licensing structure and the availability of these licenses to be used in this project?

Response: City GIS Dept. to respond.

25. Do all your required software functions listed in the RFP currently have field equipment that can exercise that software function?

Response: No.

26. If you have a required software function not exercised by your current equipment, does this contract need to deploy field equipment to exercise that function or will you procure such equipment under a different contract?

Response:

- A. The proposed ATMS shall communicate with the existing equipment.
- B. The proposed ATMS shall support the required function with the test cabinet at the central as noted in the RFQ.
- C. Under this contract no field equipment is being procured or installed.

27. What system do you currently use for EVP – emergency vehicle pre-emption?

Response: Currently, City does not use any EVP system.

All remaining specifications, term and conditions remain the same.

Susan Sheppard
Procurement Specialist

End of Addendum #1