

**STATE OF CONNECTICUT**  
*DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES*  
**PROCUREMENT DIVISION**  
165 Capitol Avenue, Room 5<sup>th</sup> Floor South  
HARTFORD, CT 06106-1659

RFP NO.:	14PSX0338
Proposal Due Date:	1 November 2016
Date Addendum Issued:	14 September 2016

**PLEASE NOTE:**

This document has been marked as "Returnable". Electronic submittal of this document indicates that your company has read and accepted any modifications to the RFP that are contained in this Addendum.

**RFP ADDENDUM #2**

DESCRIPTION:

**NASPO/VALUEPOINT MULTI-STATE COOPERATIVE: Information Technology Vendor Managed Service Providers**

FOR:

NASPO, All Participating States, CT Dept. of Administrative Services, All Using State Agencies, Political Subdivisions, and Not-for-Profit Organizations

**PROPOSERS NOTE:**

**1. The Sept. 13, 2016 Pre-Proposal PowerPoint presentation is included with this Addendum**

**2. Questions and Answers following up on the Pre-Proposal Meeting:**

1. Is a sign in sheet available from the pre-proposal meeting?  
*There was no sign in sheet for yesterday's conference/call as the pre-proposal meeting was not mandatory.*
2. Is there a list of MSP/VMS providers that have downloaded the bid or intend to participate?  
*There is no way to determine who has downloaded the documentation, nor was there an intent to propose requested. Therefore, a prospective proposer list is unavailable.*
3. Are the seven current contractors going to get grandfathered in the new system?  
*No, the current vendors will not be grandfathered in.*
4. Is there a list of incumbent vendors?  
*The current contractors on Award 12PSX0251 are available through the link provided in the presentation. This is also available through our State Contracting Portal at [www.ct.gov/das](http://www.ct.gov/das).*
5. During the conference you mentioned the second Q&A session's deadline was 9/16/16. The RFP document states 9/26/2016. Can you please confirm?  
*Questions are due by September 26, 2016 close of business.*

6. Is the intent to award one MSP for each of the 11 geographical areas, or multiple MSP awardees per area?

*The intent is to award one vendor per geographical area. However, the Lead State/Sourcing Team reserve the right to award to one or more vendors per geographical area.*

7. In the pre-proposal meeting, it was mentioned that a facet of an offeror's proposal might indicate the number of direct placements planned by that MSP, and that the evaluation committee would assess those situations as they are reviewed with the understanding that 100% is not expected. Would you be able to provide the point scale for each of the 6 criteria listed, as well as the 7<sup>th</sup> criteria of cost, with an explanation of the scoring range, either numeric (e.g., 25 points) or qualitative (e.g., excellent, very good, etc.) with any specific elements, such as direct placements, described as to what is desired?

*The State of Connecticut publishes evaluation criteria to the extent included in the RFP currently, without weights or percentages.*

8. Is there a recording of the meeting available?

*There is no audio recording of the meeting available.*